

# The Reaction of Cobaloximes with Hydrogen: Products and Thermodynamics

Deven P. Estes,<sup>†</sup> David C. Grills,<sup>‡</sup> and Jack R. Norton\*,<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, 3000 Broadway, New York, New York 10027, United States

<sup>‡</sup>Chemistry Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, P.O. Box 5000, Upton, New York 11973-5000, United States

**Supporting Information** 

**ABSTRACT:** A cobalt hydride has been proposed as an intermediate in many reactions of the  $Co(dmgBF_2)_2L_2$  system, but its observation has proven difficult. We have observed the UV-vis spectra of  $Co(dmgBF_2)_2L_2$  (1) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN under hydrogen pressures of up to 70 atm. A Co(I) compound (6a) with an exchangeable proton is eventually formed. We have determined the bond dissociation free energy and  $pK_a$  of the new O-H bond in 6a to be 50.5 kcal/mol and 13.4, respectively, in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, matching previous reports.

I n addition to being functional  $B_{12}$  models<sup>1</sup> and catalyzing the production of hydrogen from protons and electricity,<sup>2</sup> cobaloximes catalyze H· transfer from H<sub>2</sub>.<sup>3</sup> Co(dmgBF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub> (1) and Co(dmgH)<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub> (2) (dmg = dimethylglyoximato; L = H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, etc.) can, under H<sub>2</sub>, transfer H· to stable radicals such as 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-N-oxyl (TEMPO) (Scheme 1)<sup>4</sup> and generate radicals by H· transfer to activated

Scheme 1. Hydrogen Atom Transfer (HAT) from  $H_2$  to Stable Free Radicals (X• = •CAr<sub>3</sub> or TEMPO) Catalyzed by 1



alkenes<sup>4</sup> and alkynes.<sup>5</sup> Cobaloxime 1 can thus generate radicals from  $\alpha$ -substituted acrylate esters and catalyze radical cyclohydrogenation reactions.<sup>4</sup> The reaction of 1, H<sub>2</sub> gas, and TEMPO is independent of [TEMPO], first order in H<sub>2</sub>, and second order in 1, suggesting that its rate-determining step involves one H<sub>2</sub> and 2 equiv of 1 (the forward reaction in eq 1).<sup>4</sup>

When 1 and 2 catalyze the production of  $H_2$  from protons (Scheme 2),<sup>2a,b,6</sup> cobaloxime hydrides 3 and 4 have been proposed as key intermediates, although they have never been directly observed during catalysis. Little is known about their structure, spectroscopy, and reactivity. During the photochemical protonation of  $[Co(dmgBF_2)_2(CH_3CN)]^-$  (Sa), Dempsey and Gray observed an absorbance at 405 nm attributable to 3a.<sup>61</sup> However, no other observation of 3 has



Scheme 2. Electrocatalytic Production of H<sub>2</sub> with 1



been reported. We have therefore attempted to determine the spectroscopic and thermodynamic properties (i.e., the  $pK_{a}$ , the bond dissociation free energy (BDFE), etc.) of the hydride of 1 in various solvents. In this paper, we report the behavior of 1 under relatively high hydrogen pressures (70 atm) and use our findings to assess other observations in the literature about the  $1/H_2$  system.

When 1c is dissolved in CH<sub>3</sub>CN, it gives complex 1a,<sup>6c,7</sup> with  $\lambda_{max}$  at 434 nm ( $\varepsilon = 3240 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). Under H<sub>2</sub> at a pressure of 70 atm at room temperature, its UV–vis spectrum changes slowly (24 h) but smoothly into one with a  $\lambda_{max}$  at 556 nm (7700 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), another at 627 nm (7460 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>), and a small peak at 354 nm (Figure 1). The process is reversible: the original spectrum returns slowly (48 h) after release of the gas pressure.

We previously determined that the reaction of 1a with H<sub>2</sub> is termolecular, with the hydride 3a as the presumed product.<sup>4</sup> We have now quantified the  $1a/H_2$  equilibrium with the Benesi–Hildebrand method, modified for a ternary equilibrium like that in eqs 2–4 (see the Supporting Information (SI) for the

Received: August 10, 2014 Published: November 26, 2014



**Figure 1.** UV–vis difference spectra recorded after 20 min (black), 8 h (red), and 24 h (blue) for complex 1a in  $CH_3CN$  pressurized with  $H_2$  gas at 70 atm at room temperature.



**Figure 2.** Plot of  $P_{H_2}^{-1}$  vs the inverse of the change in absorbance of **1a** at 434 nm,  $(A - A_0)^{-1}$ , measured in CH<sub>3</sub>CN under different H<sub>2</sub> pressures. The blue curve is a fit of the data to eq 4.

derivation).<sup>8</sup> By varying the hydrogen pressure and fitting the absorbance data to eq 4 (Figure 2), we obtain a  $\Delta \varepsilon$  of 785 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup> and an equilibrium constant ( $K_{eq}$ )<sup>9</sup> of 0.014(7) atm<sup>-1</sup>. We can use this value of  $K_{eq}$  to estimate  $\Delta G_{H_2} = 2.5(3)$  kcal/mol for eq 2. Use of the bond dissociation free energy (BDFE) of H<sub>2</sub> (103.6 kcal/mol)<sup>10</sup> with eq 6 gives the free energy change for eq 5 (the free energy of H· donation) as  $\Delta G_{H} = 50.5(3)$  kcal/mol.<sup>10</sup> From the thermodynamic cycle in eq 7,<sup>10</sup> we can estimate the

From the thermodynamic cycle in eq 7,<sup>10</sup> we can estimate the  $pK_a$  of the product as 13.4 in CH<sub>3</sub>CN using the  $\Delta G_H$  from above and the potential of the Co(I)<sup>-</sup>/Co(II) couple (-0.93 V vs Fc/Fc<sup>+</sup>).<sup>6d</sup> Artero and Fontecave observed that protonation of **5a** during H<sub>2</sub> evolution occurred with a  $pK_a$  of 13.3, in good agreement with our estimate.<sup>6d</sup> This  $pK_a$  is consistent with the facts that (a) proton transfer from Et<sub>3</sub>NH<sup>+</sup> ( $pK_a = 18.8$ )<sup>11</sup> to **5b** is slow and unfavorable (still incomplete after 8 s; Figures S11 and S12 in the SI) and (b) catalytic hydrogen evolution in CH<sub>3</sub>CN with acids weaker than Et<sub>3</sub>NH<sup>+</sup> does not involve protonation of **5a**.

$$2 \xrightarrow{N}_{l} \begin{bmatrix} F_{2} \\ O \\ N \\ I \\ C \\ O \\ B_{2} \end{bmatrix}^{N} + H_{2} \xrightarrow{\Delta G_{H2}}_{L = CH_{3}CN} 2 \text{ "Co-H"}$$
(2)

$$K_{\rm eq} = \frac{1}{\left[\mathbf{1a}\right]^2 P_{\rm H_2}} \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{1}{P_{\mathrm{H}_2}} = K_{\mathrm{eq}} \left[ \left( \frac{[\mathbf{1a}]_0 \Delta \varepsilon}{A - A_0} \right)^2 - 2 \left( \frac{[\mathbf{1a}]_0 \Delta \varepsilon}{A - A_0} \right) + 1 \right]$$
(4)

"Co-H" 
$$\frac{\Delta G_{H} = 50.5 \text{ kcal/mol}}{L = CH_{3}CN} + H \cdot (5)$$

$$\Delta G_{\rm H} = \frac{1}{2} [\Delta G({\rm H} - {\rm H}) - \Delta G_{{\rm H}_2}]$$
<sup>(6)</sup>

$$BDFE = 1.37 pK_a + 23.06E_{ox}^{\circ} + 53.6 \text{ kcal/mol}$$
(7)

In order to characterize the product of the reaction shown in eq 2, we monitored the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **1a** in CD<sub>3</sub>CN under 70 atm H<sub>2</sub>. No signal that could be attributed to Co–H was observed as far upfield as -25 ppm (Figure S8). Of course, residual coupling to the <sup>59</sup>Co could broaden the hydride signal and make it difficult to observe. We did see a new resonance (Figure 3; the full spectrum is shown in Figure S8) at 2.26 ppm



**Figure 3.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of a solution of 1a in CD<sub>3</sub>CN under 1 atm argon (black) and 70 atm H<sub>2</sub> (red) at 273 K (\* = CHD<sub>2</sub>CN).

and a new broad peak at 2.55 ppm.<sup>12</sup> Both peaks disappeared when the pressure was released. In the presence of exchangeable  $D^+$ , as in CD<sub>3</sub>OD, the 2.55 ppm signal does not appear (Figure S9), suggesting that it belongs to an exchangeable proton. Both **1a** and **2a** catalyze H/D exchange between D<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O (<sup>1</sup>H NMR in Figure S5, <sup>2</sup>H NMR in Figure S6).

Thus, the product of the reaction of 1a with  $H_2$  may not be the cobalt hydride 3a. That hydride was the first species observed by Dempsey and Gray<sup>6i</sup> after protonation of the anion 5a with a photoacid, a species with a high absorbance at 405 nm. However, as Figure 1 shows, the reaction of 1a with  $H_2$  gives a species (6a) with little absorbance at 405 nm but peaks at 556 and 627 nm, a spectrum similar to that of the electrochemically generated Co(I) anion 5a (Figure 4).

One possible structure for **6a**, which includes a Co(I) center and an exchangeable proton, is drawn in eq 8. A similar structure has been suggested by Artero and Fontecave for the mischaracterized "HCo(dmgH)<sub>2</sub>PBu<sub>3</sub>".<sup>4,13</sup> The fact that no increase in absorbance at 405 nm was observed during the reaction shown in eq 2 in CH<sub>3</sub>CN suggests that H<sub>2</sub> activation is rate-determining in the formation of **6a** from **1a** and H<sub>2</sub>, a



Figure 4. Spectroelectrochemistry of the reduction of 1a in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at an applied potential of -1.0 V vs Ag wire. The solution contained 0.1 M NBu<sub>4</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> as an electrolyte.



conclusion supported by measurements of the rate at which 1a reacts with  $H_2$ .<sup>7</sup>

The structure drawn for **6a** would have four inequivalent methyls, although we observed only one peak in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum that can be so assigned (the one at 2.26 ppm in Figure 3). However, it is possible that the proton causing the inequivalence could undergo proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) from **6a** to **1a** in solution, causing the methyl groups to exchange with each other on the NMR time scale (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Possible Mechanism of PCET between 6a and 1a (Individual Molecules Are Differentiated by Color)



This would produce a spectrum with only one methyl peak. When we lowered the temperature of the pressurized sample (Figure S10), the pressure of  $H_2$  and thus the amount of  $H_2$  dissolved in the solution decreased (see the discussion in the SI and Figure S10b), and the chemical shift of the supposed methyl signal increased. Less dissolved  $H_2$  presumably increases the ratio

of 1a to 6a in solution, causing simultaneous broadening and shifting of the methyl resonance while the position and shape of the proton signal at 2.55 ppm remain roughly the same. This behavior was also seen in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of mixtures of  $CpCr(CO)_3H$  and  $CpCr(CO)_3$ .<sup>14</sup> Thus, we can tentatively assign the signal at 2.26 ppm as the Me signal of complex 6a and the signal at 2.55 ppm as the signal of an exchangeable proton on the ligand, possibly an OH.

We also monitored the UV–vis spectrum of a solution of  $Co(dmgBF_2)_2(THF)_2$  (1b) under 70 atm H<sub>2</sub> in THF (Figure 5).



Figure 5. UV–vis difference spectra recorded over 24 h for complex 1b in THF pressurized with 70 atm  $H_2$  gas at room temperature.

Over the course of 8 h we observed bleaching of the signal at 430 nm in conjunction with the appearance of new peaks at 354 and 305 nm. After 8 h, a third species grew in at 580 nm as the 354 nm absorbance decreased. The absorbance of the intermediate in THF (354 nm) is similar to that observed for Co- $(dmgBF_2)_2(CH_3CN)Me_i^{6i}$  similar to the spectrum calculated for 3a,<sup>15</sup> and similar to the absorbance observed by Dempsey and Gray,<sup>6i</sup> which they attributed to 3. The intermediate absorbing at 354 nm in THF is likely the hydride complex 3b, presumably the initial product of the termolecular reaction with hydrogen.<sup>16</sup> We could not observe a hydride resonance in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra in THF because of the lower solubility of 1b in that solvent. However, despite the similarities to previous observations, the UV-vis data by itself is not conclusive enough to rule out other species that could have similar absorbances (e.g.,  $Co(H_2)$ - $(dmgBF_2)_2(THF)$ ). A similar H<sub>2</sub> complex of CpCr(CO)<sub>3</sub>· was postulated to be an intermediate in the termolecular activation of  $H_2$  by  $[CpCr(CO)_3]_2$ , but density functional theory calculations showed that the H<sub>2</sub> was very weakly bound to the metal center.<sup>16</sup> The subsequent absorbance at 580 nm may be due to a tautomerized product similar to 6a. Changing the axial ligand from CH<sub>3</sub>CN to THF may change the  $pK_a$  of the hydride (3a/ 3b) enough to slow its tautomerization.

A tautomerized product like **6a** could explain some observations in the hydrogen evolution literature that were originally attributed to hydride **3a**. Compound **6** may be the kinetic product of protonation of **5** under certain conditions. Upon rapid mixing of **5c** with acid, Bakac and co-workers observed an intermediate with  $\lambda_{max}$  at 610 nm, similar to that of **5c** but less intense  $(10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ .<sup>6f</sup> They attributed this feature to the hydride **3c**, but given the spectrum observed for **3a** by Dempsey and Gray (high absorbance at 405 nm), we question Bakac's experiment the ligand-protonated complex **6c** might be formed instead of **3c** (the spectra are quite similar). Bakac's experiment was done with excess citrate present, which could coordinate to the boron and make the ligand's oxygen available for protonation. The citrate could also catalyze the proton

transfer necessary for the conversion of **6a** to **3a** in the way observed by Bullock and DuBois for  $[Ni(P_2N)_2]^{2+}$  complexes.<sup>17</sup>

In summary, in CH<sub>3</sub>CN under high pressures of H<sub>2</sub>, cobaloxime 1a is converted to a product 6a having a Co(I) center and an exchangeable proton, which is possibly a tautomer of the hydride 3a. In THF, however, the major product under high hydrogen pressures may well be the hydride 3b. The free energy of H· donation and the  $pK_a$  of 6a are 50.5 kcal/mol and 13.4, respectively. It is possible that the same hydride tautomer is an intermediate in the mechanism of electrocatalytic hydrogen gas production by cobaloximes. It may be the cause of the difficulty in characterizing cobaloxime hydrides in these reactions.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## **Supporting Information**

Experimental details, NMR data, UV–vis data, derivation of eq 4, and stopped-flow data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

jrn11@columbia.edu

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support for this work was provided by the Department of Energy (DE-FG02-97ER14807). We also thank Boulder Scientific and OFS Fitel for support. D.P.E. was supported by the Department of Energy Office of Science Graduate Fellowship Program (DOE SCGF), made possible in part by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, administered by ORISE-ORAU under Contract DE-AC05-06OR23100. The work at BNL was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences, under Contract DE-AC02-98CH10886. We thank Etsuko Fujita, Andreja Bakac, and the reviewers for helpful discussions.

### REFERENCES

(1) (a) Schrauzer, G. N.; Sibert, J. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 1022.
(b) Schrauzer, G. N. Inorg. Synth. 1968, 11, 61.

(2) (a) Razavet, M.; Artero, V.; Fontecave, M. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 4786. (b) Hu, X.; Cossairt, B. M.; Brunschwig, B. S.; Lewis, N. S.; Peters, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2005, 4723. (c) Chao, T.-H.; Espenson, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 129.

(3) (a) Simándi, L. I.; Szeverényi, Z.; Budó-Záhonyi, É. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1975, 11, 773. (b) Simándi, L. I.; Budó-Záhonyi, É.; Szeverényi, Z. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett. 1976, 12, 237. (c) Simándi, L. I.; Budó-Záhonyi, É.; Szeverényi, Z.; Németh, S. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 276.

(4) Li, G.; Han, A.; Pulling, M. E.; Estes, D. P.; Norton, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14662.

(5) Estes, D. P.; Norton, J. R.; Jockusch, S.; Sattler, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 15512.

(6) (a) Du, P.; Knowles, K.; Eisenberg, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 12576. (b) Du, P.; Schneider, J.; Luo, G.; Brennessel, W. W.; Eisenberg, R. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 4952. (c) Hu, X. L.; Brunschwig, B. S.; Peters, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 8988. (d) Baffert, C.; Artero, V.; Fontecave, M. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 1817. (e) Fihri, A.; Artero, V.; Razavet, M.; Baffert, C.; Leibl, W.; Fontecave, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 564. (f) Szajna-Fuller, E.; Bakac, A. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 2488. (g) Dempsey, J. L.; Brunschwig, B. S.; Winkler, J. R.; Gray, H. B.

Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 1995 and references therein. (h) Dempsey, J.
L.; Winkler, J. R.; Gray, H. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1060.
(i) Dempsey, J. L.; Winkler, J. R.; Gray, H. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 16774 and references therein.

(7) Li, G.; Estes, D. P.; Norton, J. R.; Ruccolo, S.; Sattler, A.; Sattler, W. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 10743.

(8) Drago, R. S. *Physical Methods for Chemists*, 2nd ed.; Saunders College Publishing: Philadelphia, 1992.

(9) Purwanto; Deshpande, R. M.; Chaudhari, R. V.; Delmas, H. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1996, 41, 1414. We calculated  $K_{eq}$  using a standard state of 1 atm in H<sub>2</sub>.

(10) Wayner, D. D. M.; Parker, V. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 287.

(11) Kaljurand, I.; Kutt, A.; Soovali, L.; Rodima, T.; Maemets, V.; Leito, I.; Koppel, I. A. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, *70*, 1019.

(12) The extremely large absorbance of  $H_2$  in the NMR spectrum causes truncation errors in the free induction decay of the spectrum. This produces a "rippling" effect radiating from the edges of the  $H_2$  peak. This causes large errors in the integral of the broad peak at 2.55 ppm and thus the ratio of the peak at 2.55 ppm to that at 2.26 ppm, with the observed ratios being between 1:4 and 1:6.

(13) Bhattacharjee, A.; Chavarot-Kerlidou, M.; Andreiadis, E. S.; Fontecave, M.; Field, M. J.; Artero, V. *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 7087.

(14) Tang, L.; Papish, E. T.; Abramo, G. P.; Norton, J. R.; Baik, M.-H.; Friesner, R. A.; Rappé, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 10093.

(15) Muckerman, J. T.; Fujita, E. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 12456.

(16) Norton, J. R.; Spataru, T.; Camaoni, D.; Lee, S.-J.; Li, G.; Choi, J.; Franz, J. A. Organometallics **2014**, 33, 2496.

(17) Helm, M. L.; Stewart, M. P.; Bullock, R. M.; DuBois, M. R.; DuBois, D. L. Science **2011**, 333, 863.